

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,295	01/18/2002	Behzad Mirzayi	12,339	8376
75	590 04/22/2004		EXAM	INER
William W. Haefliger Suite 512			BARRY, CHESTER T	
201 So. Lake A	ve.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Pasadena, CA 91101			1724	
			DATE MAILED, 04/22/200	_

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/052,295	MIRZAYI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chester T. Barry	1724
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 06 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this application 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	period set forth in of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below):
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	·	33.311,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) M they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or by buld be rejected is provided below) ☐ will be entered and an ow or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 13.		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•
10. Other:		
		Chester T. Barry 571-272-1152 direct Art Unit: 1724

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment of claim 13 ("in pellet form") if entered would increase the number of claims presented for examination beyond the number of finally rejected claims, i.e., beyond 12..

CHESTER T. BARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER Applicant's proposed amendment of claim 1 in which the limitation "in pellet form" would be deleted raises a new issue: Whether generic claims NOT LIMITED to the elected "pellet" form are patentable. That is a NEW issue insofar as no claim of such scope was treated at the time of final rejection. Note that claim 13, which was of the same scope as proposed claim 1, was withdrawn from consideration.

Chester T Barry

571-272-1152